## Certification of Facsimile Transmission

I hereby certify that this paper and the documents referred to as attached therein are being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Denise Ortega

Name

NOV 1 3 2006

November 13, 2006

Date

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Claudiu Supuran et al. Applicant :

Group Art Unit: 1642

Serial No.: 10/723,795

Examiner: B. J. Fetterolf, PhD.

November 26, 2003 Filed

CA IX-Specific Inhibitors For

## INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD CONCERNING DUPLICATE RESTRICTION REQUIREMENTS

MAIL STOP PATENT APPLICATION Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The Applicants received two different Restriction Requirements for the above-identified application, that were each mailed from the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) on October 12, 2006. Although the cover sheets for each of the Restriction Requirements listed the examiner as Brandon J. Fetterolf, Ph.D., one of the Restriction Requirements was signed by Examiner Erich A. Leeser and his Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) James O. Wilson.

The Attorney for the Applicants contacted Examiner Leeser on October 26 about the two Restriction Requirements for the subject application, and Examiner Leeser said that he would discuss the Restriction Requirements issue with his SPE James O. Wilson. After consulting with his SPE, Examiner Leeser called the Attorney for the Applicants back and stated that the Restriction Requirement that was signed by him and his SPE would be withdrawn. Examiner Leeser also stated that a form signifying that said second Restriction Requirement was to be withdrawn would be forwarded to the Applicants.

Respectfully submitted,

Leona L. Lauder

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 30,863

Dated: